	Case 3:08-cv-00502-LRH-VPC Document 111 Filed 09/14/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	STEVE MICHAEL COX,
10	Plaintiff, 3:08-cv-00502-LRH-VPC
11	v.)) ORDER
12	JAMES BENEDETTI, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Valerie P.
16	Cooke (#105¹) entered on August 2, 2011, recommending granting in part Defendants' Motion for
17	Summary Judgment (#58), dismissing with prejudice Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claim, and
18	denying Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (#93) and Motion for Sanctions (#97). Plaintiff filed his Objections
19	to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#109) on August 15, 2011, and Defendants filed
20	their Opposition to Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation on August
21	29, 2011 (#110). This action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B)
22	and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of
23	Nevada.
24	The Court has conducted its <i>de novo</i> review in this case, has fully considered the objections of
25	
26	¹ Refers to court's docket number.

the Plaintiff, the opposition of Defendants, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other 1 relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court 2 3 determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#109) entered on August 2, 2011, 4 should be adopted and accepted. 5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation 6 (#109) entered on August 2, 2011, is adopted and accepted, and Defendant's Motion for Summary 7 Judgment (#58) is GRANTED in part for Plaintiff's claims against defendant Benedetti, Corda, Peery, 8 Breackbill, Bannister, Cox, Helling, and Bagwell; Plaintiff's First Amendment access to the court 9 claims; and Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claims. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claim for deprivation of 11 property is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), for failure to state a 12 claim upon which relief may be granted. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions to Strike (#93) and for Sanctions (#97) 14 are DENIED. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 DATED this 14th day of September, 2011. Flsihe 17 18 19 LARRY R. HICKS 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26

2